

7572 - Police and Emergency Services - KAMMOUN V COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Borsak, Robert to the Minister for Sport, Multiculturalism, Seniors and Veterans representing the Minister for Police and Emergency Services

- (1) In the matter of Kammoun v Commissioner of Police, NSW Police Force [2021] NSWCATAD 273, Senior Constable Sachin Raj and Senior Constable Michael Matic both made multiple claims in their respective statements about an incident involving Mr Kammoun on 2 September 2020, that under cross examination were found to be false and omitted key events:
- (a) Was Senior Constable Raj investigated by the NSW Police Force Professional Standards Command?
- (i) Was any disciplinary action taken?
- (ii) If so, what action was taken?
- (b) Was Senior Senior Constable Matic investigated by the NSW Police Force Professional Standards Command?
- (i) Was any disciplinary action taken?
- (ii) If so, what action was taken?
- (c) Does the Minister believe that it is 'in the public interest' for Senior Constable Raj and Senior Constable Matic to remain employed as sworn officers in the NSW Police Force?
- (d) Does the Minister believe that Senior Constable Raj and Senior Constable Matic are 'fit and proper' persons, to be employed by the NSW Police Force?

Answer -

I am advised:

This matter has been referred to the NSW Police Force Professional Standards Command, and is being assessed in accordance with Part 8A of the Police Act 1990. I have full confidence in the Commissioner of the NSW Police Force to act accordingly. It would be inappropriate for me as Minister, to make any further comment at the current time.

Question asked on 23 September 2021 (session 57-1) and published in Questions & Answers Paper No. 591

Answer received on 14 October 2021 and published in Questions & Answers Paper No. 604

Document tendered by
Mr Borsak
Received by
Jessie Halligan
Date: 4 / 5 / 2022
Resolved to publish <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes / No