



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

2019-20-21

FIRST SESSION OF THE FIFTY-SEVENTH PARLIAMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

No. 603

WEDNESDAY 13 OCTOBER 2021

(The Questions and Answers Paper published on Monday to Friday of each week will contain, by number and title, all questions to which answers have been received the previous day and any new questions asked that day. Consequently, the full text of any question will be printed only twice: when notice is given; and, when answered.)

Notice given on date shown.

Publication of Questions	Answer to be lodged by
Q & A No. 590 (Including Question Nos 7561 to 7571)	13 October 2021
Q & A No. 591 (Including Question Nos 7572 to 7600)	14 October 2021
Q & A No. 592 (Including Question Nos 7601 to 7602)	15 October 2021
Q & A No. 593 (Including Question Nos 7603 to 7621)	18 October 2021
Q & A No. 594 (Including Question Nos 7622 to 7626)	19 October 2021
Q & A No. 595 (Including Question Nos 7627 to 7634)	20 October 2021
Q & A No. 596 (Including Question Nos 7636 to 7636)	21 October 2021
Q & A No. 597 (Including Question Nos 7637 to 7638)	22 October 2021
Q & A No. 598 (Including Question Nos 7639 to 7640)	26 October 2021
Q & A No. 599 (Including Question Nos 7641 to 7655)	28 October 2021
Q & A No. 600 (Including Question Nos 7656 to 7656)	29 October 2021
Q & A No. 601 (Including Question Nos 7657 to 7663)	1 November 2021
Q & A No. 602 (Including Question Nos 7664 to 7672)	2 November 2021
Q & A No. 603 (Including Question Nos 7673 to 7675)	3 November 2021

22 SEPTEMBER 2021

(Paper No. 590)

* 7561 HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH—HOSPITALISED PROTESTERS 2.0—The Hon. Rod Roberts to ask the Minister for Mental Health, Regional Youth and Women representing the Minister for Health and Medical Research—

- (1) On 27 August 2021 the [Question on Notice 7280](#) was asked of the Minister. On 17 September 2021 the answer to the Question on Notice was received from the Minister:
- (a) Would the Minister please answer part (1) (c) of the original question?
 - (b) Is there any confirmed cases of COVID-19 being transmitted outdoors?
 - (c) If a member of the Legislative Council can't get a comprehensive response to a formal question on notice how is the public expected to trust the health advice being given by the Minister?

Answer—

- (1)
- (a) I refer the member to response provided at LC 7280.
 - (b) COVID-19 can be transmitted in any environment where people come into proximity to one another. Through COVID-19 case interviews, NSW Health has identified COVID-19 exposure events and likely transmission in outdoor settings. COVID-19 case data captures demographic information, geographical location, likely source of infection, instances of onward transmission, and is not routinely broken down by indoor or outdoor setting.
 - (c) I refer the member to response provided at LC 7280.

* 7562 ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT—PEABODYS METROPOLITAN MINE—Ms Cate Faehrmann to ask the Special Minister of State, and Minister for the Public Service and Employee Relations, Aboriginal Affairs, and the Arts representing the Minister for Energy and Environment—

- (1) On how many occasions has Metropolitan Mine exceeded its licensed discharge limits for Environment Protection Licence No. 767?
- (2) Is Peabody Energy undertaking reject coal emplacement in the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?
- (3) Has Peabody Energy ever undertaken reject coal emplacement in the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?
- (a) If such emplacement has occurred under project approval MP08_0149, under what section of that project approval has this emplacement taken place?
- (4) If those tunnels are not part of the project approval MP08_0149, what studies have been carried out about what may happen with this emplacement material in the event of damaging impacts caused by the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh that are adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?

Answer—

I am advised:

- (1-4) In the past 10 years there were no water discharges from the mine to the environment above the EPA environment protection licence limits. Questions 2–4 are matters for the Deputy Premier, the Hon. Paul Toole MP.

* 7563 REGIONAL NEW SOUTH WALES, INDUSTRY AND TRADE—PEABODYS METROPOLITAN MINE—Ms Cate Faehrmann to ask the Minister for Education and Early Childhood Learning representing the Deputy Premier, and Minister for Regional New South Wales, Industry and Trade—

- (1) Are there no remediation plans in place for the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park under project approval MP08_0149:
 - (a) If this is the case, who will be responsible for remediation in the event of metallic discharges into the National Park catchments caused by rebounding water tables when the Metropolitan Mine ceases pumping from these tunnels?
- (2) Is Peabody Energy undertaking reject coal emplacement in the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?
- (3) Has Peabody Energy ever undertaken reject coal emplacement in the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?
 - (a) If such emplacement has occurred under project approval MP08_0149, under what section of that project approval has this emplacement taken place?
- (4) If those tunnels are not part of the project approval MP08_0149, what studies have been carried out about what may happen with this emplacement material in the event of damaging impacts caused by the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh that are adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?

Answer—

- (1) Rehabilitation commitments are established as part of the development approval process by a determining authority such as the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) or the Independent Planning Commission (IPC) under the Environment Planning & Assessment Act 1979.
 - (a) The NSW Resources Regulator is responsible for regulating rehabilitation under the Mining Act 1992, which includes ensuring consistency with rehabilitation obligations that are specified by a development consent.

In the case of underground mines, rehabilitation is focussed on surface related impacts such as subsidence, infrastructure or final land use approved under the development consent.

Where former mine entrances or other unnatural conduits emerge, the issue of potential discharges into the natural environment can be managed and regulated as part of the rehabilitation management plan (closure plan) under the above Acts.

- (2-4) Regarding the emplacement of reject coal material in the historic underground workings, this is a matter for consideration by DPIE.

* 7564 HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH—INCORRECT VACCINATION INFORMATION—The Hon. Mark Latham to ask the Minister for Mental Health, Regional Youth and Women representing the Minister for Health and Medical Research—

- (1) Since 1 July 2021:
 - (a) How many times has NSW Health sent vaccination information to the wrong person by:
 - (i) SMS?
 - (ii) Any other means?
- (2) How did these errors and privacy breaches occur?

Answer—

- (1, 2) On 21 September 2021 a number of consumers were sent an SMS intended for another person. Consumers were sent a follow-up SMS apologising for any inconvenience caused and confirming that their appointment arrangements were in no way impacted.

I am advised that the error occurred as a result of a malfunction within the SMS notifications system. NSW Information and Privacy Commission has confirmed that the error was not a privacy breach.

* 7565 WATER, PROPERTY AND HOUSING—PEABODY'S METROPOLITAN MINE—Ms Cate Faehrmann to ask the Minister for Mental Health, Regional Youth and Women representing the Minister for Water, Property and Housing—

- (1) What investigations has Water NSW commenced or completed into the distribution of metallic-enriched sediments on the base of Sydney's water supply dams, particularly those whose catchments are impacted by underground mining?
- (2) What investigations has Water NSW commenced into the distribution of metallic-enriched sediments on the base of the Woronora Reservoir?
- (3) What investigations has Water NSW completed into the distribution of metallic-enriched sediments on the base of the Woronora Reservoir?
- (4) What locations on the base of the Woronora Reservoir have been sampled?
 - (a) Over what time periods were samples taken?
- (5) What evidence is there that metal-laden sediments are not accumulating on the base of our water storage catchment areas?

Answer—

- (1) In 2012, Sydney Catchment Authority (now WaterNSW) undertook baseline sampling of sediments in Woronora Reservoir as part of investigations into metallic-enriched sediments of Sydney's drinking water storages, impacted by underground mining.
- (2) WaterNSW is currently working with Peabody Energy to ensure that comprehensive assessments are undertaken to understand the full impacts of ongoing operations on Sydney's drinking water streams and reservoirs.
- (3) Refer to answer to Question 1.
- (4) Sediment samples have been collected along the Woronora River and Waratah Rivulet arms of Woronora Reservoir, and at their confluence.
 - (a) Samples were taken between 11-18 September 2012.
- (5) In 2019, the Independent Expert Panel on Mining in the Catchments (IEPMC) noted "there is no evidence that mining in the Special Areas is currently compromising the ability of WaterNSW to meet raw water supply agreement standards".

* 7566 PLANNING AND PUBLIC SPACES—PEABODY'S METROPOLITAN MINE—Ms Cate Faehrmann to ask the Minister for Mental Health, Regional Youth and Women representing the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces—

- (1) How many times has an external assessment been conducted of any sustained increase in metallic contaminants (aluminium, manganese and iron) against Metropolitan Mine's performance measure for quality of surface waters in Woronora Reservoir and the Waratah Rivulet over the last 10 years?
 - (a) What is the yearly breakdown of these exceedances?
- (2) On how many occasions has the exceedance of metallic contaminant levels of water in Woronora Reservoir and the Waratah Rivulet been deemed to be no more than "a negligible reduction to the quality of water resources reaching the reservoir"?
 - (a) What is the yearly and cumulative impact of these exceedances?
- (3) Have the concentrations of these contaminants over that period increased in frequency relative to 20-year averages or other metrics?
 - (a) What does this imply about the long-term water security of Woronora Reservoir?
- (4) Has Peabody submitted extraction plans for Metropolitan mine tunnels 308 - 315?

- (5) Why has the Minister not answered the previous question submitted on 14 July 2021 regarding whether any new extractions plan for Metropolitan Mine tunnels 308 - 315 be publicly exhibited before approval by the department of planning?
- (6) Why has the Minister not answered the previous question submitted on 14 July 2021 about whether members of the public and interested groups be able to put in submissions regarding the extraction plans for Metropolitan Mine tunnels 308 - 315?
- (7) Camp Gully Creek flows through the Royal National Park and is adjacent to the surface facilities of Peabody's Metropolitan Mine at Helensburgh:
 - (a) How much water has Peabody's Metropolitan Mine taken from Camp Gully Creek over the last ten years?
- (8) Is Peabody Energy undertaking reject coal emplacement in the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?
- (9) Has Peabody Energy ever undertaken reject coal emplacement in the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area and adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?
 - (a) If such emplacement has occurred under project approval MP08_0149, under what section of that project approval has this emplacement taken place?
 - (b) If those tunnels are not part of the project approval MP08_0149, what studies have been carried out about what may happen with this emplacement material in the event of damaging impacts caused by the historic mine tunnels near Helensburgh that are adjacent to waterways that flow through the Royal National Park?

Answer—

I am advised:

- (1) Metropolitan Mine's approval requires the proponent to ensure it does not exceed a performance measure of Negligible reduction to the quality of water resources reaching the Woronora Reservoir. Assessments have been conducted monthly since January 2018. There have been no exceedances of the performance measure of Negligible reduction to the quality of water resources reaching the Woronora Reservoir.
 - (a) See (1).
- (2) See (1).
 - (a) See (1).
- (3) Water quality in the reservoir is monitored by WaterNSW under the Water NSW Act 2014, and falls within the portfolio of the Minister for Water, Property and Housing.
 - (a) In 2019, the Independent Expert Panel for Mining in the Catchment concluded that although surface fracturing elevates metal loads in watercourses, there is no evidence that mining in the Special Areas is currently compromising the ability of WaterNSW to meet raw water supply agreement standards.
- (4) No.
- (5) There is no statutory requirement under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to publicly exhibit extraction plans or other post approval documents prior to approval. However, the conditions require consultation with key agencies who provide expert advice on their relevant area of expertise, including for example WaterNSW, Heritage NSW, Resources Regulator, and the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department) Biodiversity Conservation and Science Division and Water Group. The Department also engages experts, including the Independent Advisory Panel for Underground Mining to provide advice on extraction plans. The documents will be made publicly available on the Department's website when they are approved.
- (6) Preparation and assessment of extraction plans are a post approval requirement. There is no submissions process for extraction plans or other post approval documents.

- (7)
- (a) Information on water take is publicly available in Annual Returns submitted by the proponent at www.peabodyenergy.com/Operations/Australia-Mining/New-South-Wales-Mining/Metropolitan-Mine/Approvals,-Plans-Reports.
- (8) Metropolitan Coal has emplaced coal reject in old underground workings underneath the Garawarra State Conservation Area. The emplacement of coal reject in old underground workings has been carried out in accordance with the Environmental Assessment for the project and as approved by the project approval.
- (9) See (9).

* 7567 BETTER REGULATION AND INNOVATION—IAN HEAD—Mr David Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Finance and Small Business representing the Minister for Better Regulation and Innovation—

- (1) Regarding the death of Ian Head on 28 October 2017 which was subject to review by the Office of National Rail Safety Regulator (ONRSR) and Safe Work NSW (SW NSW):
- (a) Was a final report created into this matter by Safework?
- (i) If so, why is this report not available?
- (ii) If a report was not created, why?
- (b) Noting that SafeWork NSW Customer Service Standard states “the need to seek expert or technical opinions”:
- (i) Why didn’t SW NSW engage a fatigue expert to assist their investigation?
- (c) SafeWork NSW Customer Service Standard states: “where further investigation is undertaken, an update will be provided at least once in every three months”:
- (i) Why didn’t SW NSW provide updated information every three months?
- (d) Why did SW NSW not request evidence of Ian Head’s thirty-five rostered straight shifts?
- (e) There is a definition on the contract of what ST’s classifies a shift, how did SW NSW determine the difference between a non-rostered and rostered shift?
- (f) In correspondence to the family of the deceased worker the Minister stated: *As referred to in their final report, the ONRSR “did not review any actual hours worked” for the relevant period. However, SW NSW did obtain and review these records as part of its investigation in relation to your father. Relevantly, these records indicated that: Your fathers shift on the 22 October 2017 was cancelled shortly after his arrival onsite; and the previous shift performed by your father was rostered to end at 3.00pm, approximately 11 hours prior to the noon-rostered shift on the day of the incident:*
- (i) On what basis did SW NSW conclude that the shift on the 22 October 2017 being cancelled shortly after his arrival onsite?
- (ii) What were the actual hours the deceased worker worked on the shift prior to the day of the incident and the actual rest period between shifts, including any travel time?
- (g) In correspondence to the family of the deceased worker the Minister stated: *Your father had received several documented inductions, training, and competency assessment from MCR regarding fatigue, impairment, vehicle use. According to the records obtained by MCR, these training documents were accessed and sighted by your father:*
- (i) Please provide a list of the training accessed and sighted by the deceased worker that addressed fatigue management?

Answer—

- (1)
- (a)

- (i-ii) A briefing note was prepared to inform the enforcement decision maker in this matter; however, this document has not been published as it is subject to legal professional privilege.

* 7568 TRANSPORT AND ROADS—IAN HEAD—Mr David Shoebridge to ask the Special Minister of State, and Minister for the Public Service and Employee Relations, Aboriginal Affairs, and the Arts representing the Minister for Transport and Roads—

- (1) Regarding the death of Ian Head on 28 October 2017 which was subject to review by the Office of National Rail Safety Regulator (ONRSR) and Safe Work NSW (SW NSW):
- (a) Was a final report created into this matter by Safework?
- (i) If so, why is this report not available?
- (ii) If a report was not created, why?
- (b) Noting that SafeWork NSW Customer Service Standard states “the need to seek expert or technical opinions”:
- (i) Why didn’t SW NSW engage a fatigue expert to assist their investigation?
- (c) SafeWork NSW Customer Service Standard states: “where further investigation is undertaken, an update will be provided at least once in every three months”:
- (i) Why didn’t SW NSW provide updated information every three months?
- (d) Why did SW NSW not request evidence of Ian Head’s thirty-five rostered straight shifts?
- (e) There is a definition on the contract of what ST’s classifies a shift, how did SW NSW determine the difference between a non-rostered and rostered shift?
- (f) In correspondence to the family of the deceased worker the Minister stated: *As referred to in their final report, the ONRSR “did not review any actual hours worked” for the relevant period. However, SW NSW did obtain and review these records as part of its investigation in relation to your father. Relevantly, these records indicated that: Your fathers shift on the 22 October 2017 was cancelled shortly after his arrival onsite; and the previous shift performed by your father was rostered to end at 3.00pm, approximately 11 hours prior to the noon-rostered shift on the day of the incident:*
- (i) On what basis did SW NSW conclude that the shift on the 22 October 2017 being cancelled shortly after his arrival onsite?
- (ii) What were the actual hours the deceased worker worked on the shift prior to the day of the incident and the actual rest period between shifts, including any travel time?
- (g) In correspondence to the family of the deceased worker the Minister stated: *Your father had received several documented inductions, training, and competency assessment from MCR regarding fatigue, impairment, vehicle use. According to the records obtained by MCR, these training documents were accessed and sighted by your father:*
- (i) Please provide a list of the training accessed and sighted by the deceased worker that addressed fatigue management?

Answer—

I am advised:

This is a matter for the Minister for Better Regulation and Innovation.

* 7569 TRANSPORT AND ROADS—SYDNEY TRAINS—Mr David Shoebridge to ask the Special Minister of State, and Minister for the Public Service and Employee Relations, Aboriginal Affairs, and the Arts representing the Minister for Transport and Roads—

- (1) Sydney Trains - Regarding the death of Ian Head on 28 October 2017 which was subject to review by the Office of National Rail Safety Regulator (ONRSR) and Safe Work NSW:

- (a) Noting that Sydney Train's WHS Policy provides it must "Ensure full compliance with all relevant health and safety legislation and regulatory requirements" and that the Office of National Rail Safety Regulator (ONRSR) reported: Multi Civil & Rail (MCR) did not have systems in place to manage fatigue; MCR's systems were weak against Work Health and Safety Act 2011; and MCR failed to meet the requirements under Rail Safety National Law:
- (i) How did these findings impact MCR's existing and future contracts?
 - (ii) How did Sydney Trains allow a contractor to operate without these protections in place?
 - (iii) Were any disciplinary or other remedial actions taken given these breaches in Sydney Trains?
- (b) Noting that Sydney Train's OHS policy provides that it must "Implement and maintain a system of safeworking" and that the ONRSR reported: "ST has not located any information or documents responsive to this request", for the following questions:
- Advice on how Sydney Trains ensures that rail safety workers supplied by MCR are trained/instructed in Sydney Train's requirements for the management of fatigue.
- Details of any audits, inspections, reports prepared by or received by Sydney Trains in the previous two years up to the date of this Notice regarding the management of fatigue of rail safety workers contracted from any organization other than MCR.
- Copy of any instruction/procedure/guideline that sets out how/when any actions identified for Part C, Item 4 (Item C4: Describe how Sydney Trains ensures compliance by MCR with the Sydney Trains fatigue management requirements) above is to be carried out:
- (i) What actions have been taken to ensure these system failures do not repeat and what if any disciplinary or other remedial actions taken given these breaches by Sydney Trains?
- (c) Noting that the ONRSR reported: "An audit was carried out on MCR on 09/04/2017 covering a number of matters including fatigue." This audit conducted by Daniel Yuen and Vicki Cosmia of the 5/04/2017, awarded a Positive commendation or noteworthy effort on MCR's Fatigue Management:
- (i) Who was responsible (name) for approving, monitoring, and ensuring MCR and other contractors' systems of work?
 - (ii) How can these audit findings be sustained given the critical conclusions of the ONRSR on these very same issues?
- (d) Noting that Sydney Train's policy is to "Create a culture of working safely" and Sydney Trains Safety Management System Operating Procedure 08: Managing Fatigue Risks, why did Sydney Trains fail to implement a system to manage the risks with the contractor MCT?
- (e) What if any due diligence has been undertaken regarding the fitness of the directors of MCR given their prior employment relationship with NSW Rail?
- (i) Does it include police background checks?

Answer—

I am advised:

(1)

- (a-b) Fatigue management audits are an important element of Sydney Trains' continual improvement processes. As a result of the audits, improvements are identified and subsequently implemented, including by Multi Civil & Rail.

Sydney Trains have commercial systems and processes in place which are designed to ensure that contractors and suppliers have appropriate measures to deliver outcomes to a required standard. These processes include the oversight of the contract as well as periodic review of

contractor performance relating to operational compliance validation, internal assurance processes.

- (c) The selection and approval of suppliers is managed by the Function Procurement Team within Sydney Trains'. The contract management team is responsible for the ongoing management of contracts.

An audit of Multi Civil & Rail found it had established fatigue management systems. Subsequent assurance activities identified improvements, and these were subsequently implemented.

- (d) Sydney Trains has processes to measure, monitor and improve contractor performance. Where opportunities for improvement are identified, the changes will be implemented.
- (e) Consistent with standard process at the time, an independent financial risk analysis was conducted and considered matters, such as the existence of evidence of court action against Directors in the previous five years. The analysis returned a nil result.

Sydney Trains follows the NSW Procurement Guidelines requirements.

* 7570 ATTORNEY GENERAL—AVAILABILITY OF BEDS FOR WOMEN LEAVING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE—The Hon. Rose Jackson to ask the Minister for Sport, Multiculturalism, Seniors and Veterans representing the Attorney General, and Minister for Prevention of Domestic and Sexual Violence—

- (1) How many beds are available as of 1 September 2021 for women and children leaving violence in New South Wales?
- (a) Broken down by:
- (i) Local Government Area?
- (ii) In women only refuges?
- (2) How many beds are available as of 1 September 2021 for single women leaving violence in New South Wales?
- (a) Broken down by:
- (i) Local Government Area?
- (ii) In women only refuges?

Answer—

I am advised:

The Department of Communities and Justice (DCJ) does not consider counting beds as an appropriate measure of a refuge's capacity. It considers that it cannot rely on collected data in relation to the exact number of beds in women's refuges, when services frequently reconfigure space and vary the number of available beds due to complexity and client needs at any given time.

In 2021, there are 86 women's refuges in NSW that deliver women's only crisis accommodation. This includes 67 refuges operating from government-owned properties and 19 non-government or privately owned properties. This is an increase of 10 women's refuges from 76 in 2013-14.

* 7571 ATTORNEY GENERAL—NSW DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LINE—The Hon. Rose Jackson to ask the Minister for Sport, Multiculturalism, Seniors and Veterans representing the Attorney General, and Minister for Prevention of Domestic and Sexual Violence—

- (1) Please provide the total number of calls to the NSW Domestic Violence call line (1800 65 64 63) for each month of 2021:
- (a) Broken down by:
- (i) Local Government Area?
- (ii) Statistical area?

- (2) How many calls have been requests for short term or emergency housing assistance?

Answer—

I am advised:

The information requested is not available broken down by local government or statistical area.

Data on households and people accessing the ‘Start Safely’ private rental subsidy can be found on the 2019-20 Annual Statistical Report available via

https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/dcj.statistics/viz/TableA3B2C0D0N94-95_/Performance_measure.

Data on households assisted with Temporary Accommodation due to domestic violence can be found on the 2019-20 Annual Statistical Report available via

https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/dcj.statistics/viz/TableA2B2C0D0N90_/Perform_ance_measure.

13 OCTOBER 2021

(Paper No. 603)

- 7673 ATTORNEY GENERAL—NSW COPTIC ORTHODOX CHURCH—Mr David Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Sport, Multiculturalism, Seniors and Veterans representing the Attorney General, and Minister for Prevention of Domestic and Sexual Violence—

- (1) When did the Minister first receive evidence of alleged fraud, misuse or misappropriation of church funds by Bishop Daniel in regards the NSW Coptic Orthodox Church?
- (2) Did the Minister report allegations of fraud, misuse or misappropriation of church funds in regard to Bishop Daniel to the NSW Police when you received them in November 2018?
 - (a) At any stage has the Minister reported these matters to NSW Police?
- (3) What communication has the Minister had with the NSW Police in regard to Bishop Daniel?
- (4) Did the Minister report these allegations to The Australian Charities and Not for Profits Commission?
 - (a) If so, has the Minister made any further inquiries into this case in the last three reports?
- (5) Has the Minister reported these allegations to the Crown Solicitors Office?
 - (a) If so, has the Minister made any further inquiries into this case in the last three years?

- 7674 LOCAL GOVERNMENT—GLENLEE—Mr David Shoebridge to ask the Special Minister of State, and Minister for the Public Service and Employee Relations, Aboriginal Affairs, and the Arts representing the Minister for Local Government—

- (1) Will the Government provide funding to the Georges River Council to purchase the historic property ‘Glenlee’ at 80 Boronia Parade, Lugarno?
- (2) Has the Government met with Georges River Council to discuss the purchase of the historic property ‘Glenlee’ at 80 Boronia Parade, Lugarno?

- 7675 PLANNING AND PUBLIC SPACES—GLENLEE—Mr David Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Education and Early Childhood Learning representing the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces, and Minister for Transport and Roads—

- (1) Will the Government provide funding to the Georges River Council to purchase the historic property ‘Glenlee’ at 80 Boronia Parade, Lugarno?
- (2) Has the Government met with Georges River Council to discuss the purchase of the historic property ‘Glenlee’ at 80 Boronia Parade, Lugarno?

David Blunt
Clerk of the Parliament

Authorised by the Parliament of New South Wales